have a lack thereof of earmarks, the gentleman knows my philosophy there. I totally support that. Therefore, I totally support the gentleman's motion and would encourage its adoption.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. OBEY. Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the previous question is or-

There was no objection.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The question is on the motion to instruct offered by the gentleman from Wisconsin [Mr. OBEY].

The motion was agreed to.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Without objection, the Chair appoints the following conferees: Messrs. CALLAHAN, PORTER, LIVINGSTON, LIGHTFOOT, WOLF, PACKARD, KNOLLENBERG, FORBES, BUNN of Oregon, WILSON, YATES, Ms. PELOSI, Mr. TORRES, and Mr. OBEY.

There was no objection.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. CALLAHAN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days to revise and extend their remarks and that I may include tabular and extraneous material on H.R. 1868.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Alabama?

There was no objection.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE HON-ORABLE TOM DELAY, MEMBER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable TOM DELAY, Member of Congress:

> House of Representatives, Washington, DC, October 12, 1995.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER, This is to formally notify you pursuant to Rule L (50) of the Rules of the House that Bill Jarrell, my Deputy Chief of Staff, has been served with a subpoena issued by the United States Justice Department. This subpoena relates to his previous employment by a former Member of

After consultation with the General Counsel, I have determined that compliance with the subpoena is consistent with the privileges and precedents of the House.

Sincerely,

TOM DELAY. Member of Congress.

COMMUNICATION FROM THE HON-ORABLE SAM M. GIBBONS, MEM-BER OF CONGRESS

The SPEAKER pro tempore laid before the House the following communication from the Honorable SAM GIB-BONS, Member of Congress:

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, Washington, DC, October 12, 1995. Hon. NEWT GINGRICH,

Speaker, U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, DC.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER: This is to formally notify you pursuant to Rule L (50) of the Rules of the House that my office has been served with a subpoena issued by the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida.

After consultation with the General Counsel, I have determined that compliance with the subpoena is consistent with the privileges and precedents of the House.

Sincerely,

SAM M. GIBBONS, United States Congressman.

LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM

(Mr. ARMEY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. ARMEY. Mr. Speaker, I would like to advise my colleagues in the House that due to the extraordinary effort of cooperation that has been made by the potential conferees on the telecommunications bill and on the appropriations bill we just handled, we will be able to handle this evening the legislative schedule that we had scheduled for tomorrow. In that context, by working a little later this evening, we will be able to avoid having to be here for votes tomorrow.

At this time, and again if I can express my appreciation to the Subcommittee on Foreign Operations of Appropriations and to the Committee on Commerce for their willingness to move up their work to this evening, on behalf of all our membership, we will be able to complete this matter of going to conference on the telecommunications bill now, then return to the science bill, finish our work for the week this evening and be free from the requirement of votes tomorrow.

We will have a further announcement about next week's schedule as the evening progresses. I would like to try to project a time when we could complete our work this evening. At approximately 9 o'clock this evening, we should have then been able to have our last vote of the week.

Mr. SKAGGS. Mr. Speaker, will the gentleman vield?

Mr. ARMEY. I yield to the gentleman from Colorado.

Mr. SKAGGS. Mr. Speaker, is it the leader's intention that we would have even a pro forma session tomorrow?

Mr. ARMEY. We are still checking on the possibility. I can tell you that there will be a pro forma session on Monday, no votes required on Monday. But whether or not there is a pro forma session necessary for tomorrow is something we are still checking on.

TELECOMMICATIONS COMPETITION AND DEREGULATION ACT OF 1995

Mr. BLILEY. Mr. Speaker, pursuant to section 2 of House Resolution 207, I call up the Senate bill (S. 652) to provide for a procompetitive, deregulatory

national policy framework designed to accelerate rapidly private sector deployment of advanced telecommunications and information technologies and services to all Americans by opening all telecommunications markets to competition, and for other purposes.

The Clerk read the title of the Senate

The text of the Senate bill is as follows:

S 652

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Tele-communications Competition and Deregulation Act of 1995'

SEC. 2. TABLE OF CONTENTS.

The table of contents for this Act is as fol-

Sec. 1. Short title.

Sec. 2. Table of contents.

Sec. 3. Purpose. Sec. 4. Goals.

Sec. 5. Findings.

Sec. 6. Amendment of Communications Act of 1934.

Sec. 7. Effect on other law.

Sec. 8. Definitions.

TITLE I—TRANSITION TO COMPETITION

Sec. 101. Interconnection requirements.

Sec. 102. Separate affiliate and safeguard requirements.

Sec. 103. Universal service.

Sec. 104. Essential telecommunications carriers.

Sec. 105. Foreign investment and ownership reform.

Sec. 106. Infrastructure sharing.

Sec. 107. Coordination for telecommunications network-level interoperability.

TITLE II—REMOVAL OF RESTRICTIONS TO COMPETITION

SUBTITLE A—REMOVAL OF RESTRICTIONS

Sec. 201. Removal of entry barriers.

Sec. 202. Elimination of cable and telephone company cross-ownership restriction

Sec. 203. Cable Act reform.

Sec. 204. Pole attachments.

Sec. 205. Entry by utility companies.

Sec. 206. Broadcast reform.

SUBTITLE B—TERMINATION OF MODIFICATION OF FINAL JUDGMENT

Sec. 221. Removal of long distance restrictions.

Sec. 222. Removal of manufacturing restrictions.

Sec. 223. Existing activities.

Sec. 224. Enforcement.

Sec. 225. Alarm monitoring services.

Sec. 226. Nonapplicability of Modification of Final Judgment.

TITLE III—AN END TO REGULATION

Sec. 301. Transition to competitive pricing. Sec. 302. Biennial review of regulations; elimination of unnecessary regulations and functions.

Sec. 303. Regulatory forbearance.

Sec. 304. Advanced telecommunications incentives.

Sec. 305. Regulatory parity.

Sec. 306. Automated ship distress and safety systems.

Sec. 307. Telecommunications numbering administration.

Sec. 308. Access by persons with disabilities. Sec. 309. Rural markets.

Sec. 310. Telecommunications services for health care providers for rural areas, educational providers, and libraries.